

HEADQUARTERS NATO
RAPID DEPLOYABLE
CORPS - GREECE



PEDION AREOS CAMP, BST 903
THESSALONIKI, GREECE
<http://public.nrdcgr.hrfl.grc.nato.int>

NRDCGR/SPT/J8/AKL/2018
CPT (HE A) Anastasios LAINAS
J8/Chief CONTRACTING - CAC Secretary/2685

Contract Award Committee (CAC) Recommendation of Award

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Data/Financial Proposals Received Concerning the Contract (J8-18-02) for Exercise TRIDENT JAGUAR 2018 (TRJR 18) White Cell/Simulation Press & the Contract Award Decision.

DATE: 30 11:00 Jan 2018

REFERENCES: A. NATO Financial Regulation
B. Bi-SC Directive 60-70 Procurement Directive
C. IFB J8-18-02
D. NRDCGR/SPT/AKL/2018 "Memorandum Calling CAC"
E. CAC Report "ACO Form 14" 30 Jan 2018.

1. Introduction

- a. The Contract Award Committee (CAC) held a meeting on 30 11:00 Jan 18 in Philippos room in order to evaluate the bids (financial offers) submitted from companies concerning the relative Contract (J8-18-02) and proceed with a Contract Award Decision.
- b. Following a market research, an Invitation for Bidding was addressed (via e-mail) to the following companies:

| | |
|----|----------------------------------|
| 1/ | OAKAS LTD |
| 2/ | GGR TRAINING LIMITED |
| 3/ | CADENCE CONSULTANCY LTD |
| 4/ | MSETT |
| 5/ | JBCS & ASSOCIATES LIMITED |
| 6/ | SCS-LTD |
| 7/ | BERDEN AS |
| 8/ | COMPREHENSIVE TRAINING SOLUTIONS |

- c. Also an official announcement was uploaded to the site of NRDC-GR HQ.
- d. From the aforementioned companies, bid proposals finally were received from the below ones:

- 1/ GGR TRAINING LIMITED
2/ CADENCE CONSULTANCY LTD
3/ OAKAS LTD

2. Detailed Evaluation

Received financial offers are listed at the “ANNEX A” of CAC Report ACO Form 14.

a. Evaluation Strategy

The evaluation was based on the data provided by the bidders, with aim the achievement of most financially profitable solution. Bids were evaluated under the factor of highest overall rating score, implementing the evaluation formula, as depicted in ANNEX ‘A’ of Reference E, based on:

- 1/ Technical compliance with bidding contractual and technical provision.
- 2/ Cost criteria (lowest price tender).

b. Compliance and Eligibility.

The firms were in compliance with all subject clauses of the IFB and the intended contract and submitted all the legal documents requested in PART I “Bidding Instructions”.

c. Price Analysis

The bidding data/proposals received are summarized in ANNEX “A” of the attached reference E. CAC analyzed the above data and company “OAKAS LTD ” had the most financially advantageous offer.

3. Recommendation

As was resulted above, it is recommended to award the contract to the company “OAKAS LTD ”, which has overalls proposed the most beneficial solution to NRDC-GR.

4. CAC Decision

The CAC unanimously decided to award the contract regarding IFB J8-18-02 to the company “OAKAS LTD ”.

The undersigned approved the Recommendation Award.

| Contract Awards Committee | Name | Date | Signature |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Chairman | | 30 Jan 2018 | |
| Member | | 30 Jan 2018 | |
| Technical Member | | 30 Jan 2018 | |

For CAC Chairman – ACOS J8

Anastasios LAINAS
Captain, HE Army
J8/Chief CONTRACTING

ENCLOSURES:

1. CAC Report “ACO FORM 14” 30 Jan 2018

Distribution List

Action: FINCON
J8/P&C

Information: DCOS SPT
J4
J7
J8
HQ SPT